

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States-Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	. CONFIRMATION NO.
09/885,167	06/21/2001	Takehiro Matsuda	Q65050	3988
7	590 09/15/2004	EXAM	EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS, PLLC			HUBER, PAUL W	
2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20037-3202				
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2653	1
			DATE MAILED: 09/15/2004	۱' ا

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/885,167	MATSUDA, TAKEHIRO				
<ul> <li>Office Action Summary</li> </ul>	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Paul Huber	2653				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 Ap	<u>oril 2004</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-39</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.	Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) 1-39 are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
		) (4) (6)				
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
<ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>						
		a in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ad				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(c)						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) [] Januari 2000 (00000	(DTO 412)				
2) Notice of References Cited (PTO-992)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Ll Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PT						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 09/885,167

Årt Unit: 2653

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-11, drawn to an optical pickup apparatus enabling to read information of a plurality of recording mediums having different reading wavelengths from each other, classified in class 369, subclass 121.
- II. Claims 12-39, drawn to an optical pickup, classified in class 369, subclass 112.15.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination of Invention I does not require a hologram which generates a total of four beams (third through sixth beams) from the reflected first and second sub-beams, as required by the subcombination of Invention II. The subcombination has separate utility such as being utilized in an apparatus which does not require a first light emission source and a second light emission source for emitting laser beams of different wavelengths, further being integrally formed with respect to each other, as required in the combination of Invention I.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was not made to the applicant's representative to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement due to the nature of the application, e.g., foreign applicant, and the need for the examiner to promptly act on the application.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

. Application/Control Number: 09/885,167

Art Unit: 2653

Page 3

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul Huber whose telephone number is 703-308-1549.

> Paul Huber **Primary Examiner**

Art Unit 2653

pwh

September 14, 2004